	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/696,917	VAN WINKLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Julie Lieu	2612	
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) <u>Julie Lieu</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Mark Kupanoff</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 October 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Ap  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	·	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 7  Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Claim 7 depends on claim 6, which was dependent on claim change the dependency of claim 7 to claim 1 instead of clair	1 and was canceled. An exam		
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a sate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	е
•			
<u> </u>			_
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)	